

Zentrum für Lehren und Lernen Evaluation und Feedback in der Lehre

Dr. Kathrin Prümm

Langemarckstr. 113 28199 Bremen T +49 421 5905 4416 evaluation@hs-bremen.de

Evaluation report of the course evaluation

Dear Prof. Dr. Sven Oppermann,

Prof. Dr. Sven Oppermann

here you can find the results of the automated evaluation of the course: Rechnerunterstützte höhere Mathematik - MEN in the course of study MEN MEng. Please give your students feedback on the survey results soon.


If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

With kind regards Dr. Kathrin Prümm Ruth Scheffler


Rechnerunterstützte höhere Mathematik - MEN in program of study MEN MEng




Prof. Dr. Sven Oppermann Collected questionnaires = 4




Survey Results



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



2. Learning goals, didactics, methods 100% The course follows a comprehensible semester n=4 True Not true structure plan (central theme). av.=1 md=1dev.=0 25% 0% 0% 0% 0% The course motivated me to deal with the n=4 True Not true contents. av.=1,25 md=1dev.=0,5 100% 0% Students questions are adequately addressed. n=4 True Not true av.=1 md=1dev.=0 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% ^{2.4)} I feel adequately supported. n=4 True Not true av.=1 md=1 dev.=0 5 0% 0% 0% I have no difficulty understanding the contents. n=4 Not true True av.=1,25 md=1 dev.=0,5 100% Learning materials readily available. n=4 Not true True av.=1 md=1dev.=0 5 100% 0% 0% 0% Accompanying and learning materials help to understand the content of lectures. n=4 Not true True av.=1 md=1dev.=0 100% The teaching during the course facilitates n=4 True Not true independent study. av.=1 md=1 dev.=0 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% I can see a connection between the course and n=4 True Not true the goal of my studies. av.=1 md=1dev.=0 Course-specific questions ^{2.10)} Did the course take place in the laboratory? n=4 25% 75% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% The knowledge required to carry out experiments/ n=1 True Not true tasks independently was imparted. av.=1 md=1 (The number of answers results from a filter of a dev.=0 previous question) 5 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% ^{2.12)} The laboratory workstations are well equipped n=1 True Not true (materials, software, equipment, devices, etc.) av.=1 (The number of answers results from a filter of a md=1dev.=0 previous question) 5 6



If necessary, you can contact various offices within and outside HSB. Here you can go directly to the homepage of the HSB counselling network and to further links: Counselling and support

Profile

Subunit:

Fak 5 Maschb

Name of the instructor:


Prof. Dr. Sven Oppermann

Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Rechnerunterstützte höhere Mathematik - MEN

Values used in the profile line: Mean


1. Organization

- 1.1) Information about the course is sufficient.
- 1.2) Information about the course is easy to find on AULIS.
- 1.3) Course work and examinations requirements were clearly communicated.
- 1.4) Module contents were presented in a comprehensible manner.
- 1.5) Course contents essentially correspond to the course description.
- 1.6) The course environment (online/classroom on campus) is sufficient (e.g. accoustics, video transmission, group size).



2. Learning goals, didactics, methods

- 2.1) The course follows a comprehensible semester structure plan (central theme).
- 2.2) The course motivated me to deal with the contents.
- ^{2.3)} Students questions are adequately addressed.
- ^{2.4)} I feel adequately supported.
- ^{2.5)} I have no difficulty understanding the contents.
- 2.6) Learning materials readily available.
- Accompanying and learning materials help to understand the content of lectures.
- 2.8) The teaching during the course facilitates independent study.
- 2.9) I can see a connection between the course and the goal of my studies.
- 2.11) The knowledge required to carry out experiments/tasks independently was imparted.
- 2.12) The laboratory workstations are well equipped (materials, software, equipment, devices, etc.).
- 2.13) I acquired practical knowledge in the laboratory exercises.



3. Workload

 $^{3.2)}\,\,$ My workload for the module is reasonable.



Comments Report

4. Own learning behaviour

^{4.2)} In case that you have not participated regularly in the course, please explain why...

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

- 4.4) How did you manage to meet? (The number of answers results from a filter of a previous question)
- Online and live
- We managed to meet per zoom and after lectures in Studyrooms

5. Remarks

Through others, namely (The number of answers results from a filter of a previous question)

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

- 5.5) What do you think could be improved next semester?
- I think this module is been giving correctly as it is supposed to be given.
- ^{5.6)} What in particular went well during this course?
- The fact that the lecturer explains very good and the system that he puts in place in order to make Students work on the exercises that has been given by him